



IRS Announces Cost-of-Living Adjustments Affecting Retirement Plans

The Internal Revenue Service announced cost-of-living adjustments affecting certain dollar limitations for pension plans and other retirement-related limitations for 2021 in Notice 2020-79.

The following chart details 2020 retirement plan dollar limitation cost-of-living adjustments:

Limitation Description	2020	2020
Maximum annual contribution to an individual's defined contribution plan account under IRC Section 415(c)	\$57,000 (plus catch-up)	\$58,000 (plus catch-up)
Maximum amount of annual compensation that may be taken into account for determining benefits or contributions to retirement plans under IRC Sections 401(a)(17), 404(l), 408(k)(3)(C), and 408(k)(6)(D)(ii)	\$285,000	\$290,000
Dollar amount for determining maximum account balance in an employee stock ownership plan subject to a 5-year distribution period under IRC Section 409(o)(1)(C)(ii)	\$1,150,000	\$1,165,000
The minimum compensation amount under IRC Section 408(k)(2)(C) for simplified employee pensions (SEPs)	\$600	\$650
Wage Base For Social Security Tax	\$137,700	\$142,800*

* October, 13, 2020 [SSA announcement](#)

The following limits remain unadjusted for 2021:

- Maximum annual benefit under a defined benefit plan under IRC Section 415(b)(1)(A) remains unchanged at \$230,000.
- Elective contribution limit under 401(k), 403(b), most 457 plans, and the federal government's Thrift Savings Plan remains unchanged at \$19,500.
- The catch-up contribution limit for employees who are age 50 and over who participate in 401(k), 403(b), most 457 plans, and the federal government's Thrift Savings Plan remains unchanged at \$6,500.
- Dollar amount used for determining the lengthening of the 5-year distribution period in an employee stock ownership plan under IRC Section 409(o)(1)(C)(ii) remains unchanged at \$230,000.
- The limitation concerning the qualified gratuitous transfer of qualified employer securities to an employee stock ownership plan under IRC Section 664(g)(7) remains unchanged at \$50,000.
- Dollar limitation used in the definition of "highly compensated employee" under IRC Section 414(q)(1)(B) remains unchanged at \$130,000.
- Amount of compensation to be a "key" employee under IRC Section 416(i)(1)(A)(i) remains unchanged at \$185,000.
- Dollar limitation under IRC Section 408(p)(2)(E) for salary deferral contributions to SIMPLE retirement accounts remains unchanged at \$13,500.
- The limit on annual contributions to an IRA remains unchanged at \$6,000.
- The additional catch-up contribution limit to an IRA for individuals age 50 and over remains \$1,000.

Please [click here](#) for more details on the changes made by IRS Notice 2020-79.

www.wagnerlawgroup.com

 @wagner-law-group

 fb.com/WagnerLawGroup

Boston:

99 Summer Street, 13th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 357-5200

 @wagnerlawgroup

 @wagnerlawgroup

Boynton Beach:

1880 N. Congress Avenue, Suite 200
Boynton Beach, FL 33426
Tel: (561) 293-3590

Chicago:

180 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 3200
Chicago, IL 60601
Tel: (847) 990-9034

Lincoln, MA:

55 Old Bedford Road, Suite 303
Lincoln, MA 01773
Tel: (617) 532-8080

New York:

200 Park Avenue, Suite 1700
New York, NY 10166
Tel: (212) 338-5159

San Diego:

8677 Villa La Jolla Drive, Suite 888
San Diego, CA 92037
Tel: (619) 232-8702

San Francisco:

315 Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 625-0002

St. Louis:

1099 Milwaukee Street, Suite 140
St. Louis, MO 63122
Tel: (314) 236-0065

Tampa:

101 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 2140
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel: (813) 603-2959

Washington, D.C.:

800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 810
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 969-2800

This document is protected by copyright. Material appearing herein may not be reproduced with permission. This document is provided for informational purposes only by The Wagner Law Group to clients and others who may be interested in the subject matter, and may not be relied upon as specific legal advice. This material is not to be construed as legal advice or legal opinions on specific facts. Under the Rules of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, this material may be considered advertising.